



About Chickens

The chicken is the world's most numerous domesticated bird, with more than 66 billion farmed worldwide in 2012.¹ These birds have fascinated scholars and researchers since the dawn of Western civilization, and recent studies are beginning to reveal the depths of their complexity and cognitive ability. According to Andrew F. Fraser, professor of veterinary surgery at Memorial University of Newfoundland, and Donald M. Broom, professor of animal welfare at University of Cambridge: "Those who have studied the behaviour of the domestic fowl in detail..., especially those who have looked at feral fowl..., inevitably acquire much respect for the members of this species."²

Domestication

Charles Darwin proposed that domestic chickens descended from the Red Jungle Fowl (*Gallus gallus*), who are indigenous to parts of India and Southeast Asia.^{3,4} Taxonomically, in fact, domestic chickens and Red Jungle Fowl are considered the same species (*Gallus gallus*).⁵ Domestication likely began in India and China approximately 8,000 years ago and geographically expanded along with trade to the countries of the Mediterranean region.^{6,7} Spanish explorers brought domestic fowl to the New World during the 16th century CE, and large-scale, commercial poultry production began in the 19th century.^{8,9}

Ecology

Red Jungle Fowl inhabit second-growth forest areas, especially those that have been cleared by burning for agricultural use.¹⁰ Both chickens and jungle fowl are capable of short-distance flight, but they primarily travel by foot¹¹ and prefer areas with dense vegetation for ground cover. The colors of their plumage make them well-camouflaged among the red and brown leaves that collect on the forest floor.¹² Jungle fowl usually segregate into small groups with one male and several females,¹³ occupying a regular home range,¹⁴ or territory during the breeding season.¹⁵ Within their home range they have regular roosting sites, where they sleep high in the trees at night and rest during the hottest part of the day.^{16,17}

The flock's activities are highly synchronized and consist of regular daily movements within the home range.^{18,19} As natural water sources are essential for nourishment, the two loci of the jungle fowl's habitat are the roosting site and the water hole, which is shared with other animals in the area, including other jungle fowl flocks.²⁰ They also make use of tree hollows, where rain water accumulates and where they can find insects under the moist, decaying matter.²¹

Diet

Feral chickens (domesticated birds that have reverted to a wild state) have been observed to eat a wide variety of plant matter, including berries, seeds, and grasses, availing themselves of all food sources in their habitats. They scratch the forest floor for insects and snails, and they snatch figs and other fruits from the trees. Feral chickens have even been observed forming symbiotic relationships with cattle, by pecking at the flies that swarm around

the cows' faces and by scavenging on their waste.^{22,23,24} Feral domestic fowl have also been observed to eat carrion.²⁵

In one study of feral chickens released on an island nature reserve, grasses and oats were the primary component of the diet. Young chicks consumed significantly more invertebrates than adults, for whom plant matter is the most important part of the diet.²⁶ Chickens are also known to eat stones, sand, and fine grit, some of which are retained in the gizzard and help to grind food during digestion.²⁷

Natural Behavior

The basic behavioral repertoire of domestic chickens is fundamentally the same as that of their wild ancestors.^{28,29} That is to say, domesticated chickens who revert to a feral state are behaviorally similar to wild jungle fowl.³⁰

Jungle fowl are generally crepuscular; active early in the morning, asleep during the day, and awake again from late afternoon until dusk. At dawn, the males, also known as roosters or cocks, start the day by crowing loudly, reinforcing territorial boundaries and dominance relationships.³¹

Jungle fowl spend a great part of their day foraging, walking, and pecking at the ground.³² Foraging involves scratching the ground with both feet, quickly moving backwards, and pecking at anything edible that has been exposed.³³ A study of semi-wild jungle fowl at a British zoo found that, even though the staff fed the birds regularly, the jungle fowl continued to forage.³⁴ Foraging is important for domestic chickens as well and, when given a choice, hens prefer to find their own food rather than take freely from a feeder, a phenomenon called "contra free-loading."^{35,36}

Chickens use their beaks as a primary means of touching and feeling, as well as for picking up and manipulating objects. Their beaks are used in much the same way that we use our hands. The beak is essential for activities such as preening, nesting, and defense.^{37,38} Being extensively innervated and connected to the autonomic nervous system, the tip of the beak is very sensitive, and has neural receptors for touch, taste, and temperature.³⁹

Vision is important to chickens and the combined weight of their eyes is equal to that of their brain.⁴⁰ Their visual ability surpasses that of humans, with color vision extending into the ultraviolet range and their visual field covering 330°.⁴¹

Preening, the combing and fluffing of the feathers, is part of a bird's routine grooming.⁴² Chickens also keep their plumage in good condition by dustbathing, which helps to remove excess oils.^{43,44}

Wild and feral fowl are capable of flying high up into trees to evade predators and they roost in the trees at night. They usually ascend gradually by flying short distances from lower to higher branches.^{45,46,47} As the light fades in the evening, the birds begin to seek out their roosting sites so that they are settled in their perches before dark.⁴⁸

Social Behavior

Red Jungle Fowl are highly social animals who naturally live in small, stable groups. A flock is often comprised of a single dominant male, who defends the territory, and several females and subordinate males.^{49,50} They engage in many activities as a group, such as foraging, resting, and preening.⁵¹ Males and females in the flock form separate dominance hierarchies, or pecking orders.⁵² Chicks gradually develop a social order within their broods as well.⁵³

The social nature of chickens is reflected in their communicatory abilities. Males call to the hens when they find edible items to share.^{54,55} They perform "tidbitting" displays by picking up and dropping the food repeatedly and offering it to the hen.⁵⁶

During the breeding season, males become very territorial and guard fixed areas. Dominant males patrol the boundaries of their territory and keep other roosters away from the hens.⁵⁷ Subordinate males may occupy areas within the dominant male's territory, including the roosting area, but without female partners. Subordinate males frequently move in and out of different groups.⁵⁸

Nesting Behavior

Under natural conditions, hens leave the group and find a secluded nest site when they are ready to lay their eggs.⁵⁹ Wild jungle fowl nest in tall patches of grass, which provide cover for their broods. They may scratch out a shallow bed in the ground or under the roots of a tree, and line the "scrape" with vegetation and feathers.^{60,61,62}

Unlike most domestic hens, who have been selectively bred to lay eggs year-round, wild fowl breed and lay primarily in spring.^{63,64} The Red Jungle Fowl lays 10-15 eggs per year,⁶⁵ and the average size of each brood is 4-6 chicks.⁶⁶

After laying her eggs, the hen sits on the nest all day and night for three weeks. She leaves her nest only once a day to quickly find food and drink water. It is also her only opportunity to dustbathe.^{67,68,69} During this incubation period, the hen and her chicks call to each other. Calls emitted by the developing chick embryos stimulate the hen to turn her eggs or to return to the nest when she is away.⁷⁰

Chick Development

Sensory systems, including sight, hearing, smell and touch, all begin to develop prior to hatching. The shell of the egg does not shield the developing embryo from sound and light, for example, and the external environment influences the neurological development of the chick. When the chick hatches, he or she already knows some characteristics of the hen's call. Chicks are very precocial, and quickly learn essential associations that are necessary for survival,⁷¹ such as how to identify edible feedstuffs, recognize danger, and keep up with their mother.⁷²

At hatching, chicks instinctively follow the first moving object they see and learn its characteristics, a process known as imprinting. Under natural conditions this is normally their mother but, when reared in an artificial environment such as a laboratory, they will imprint on the first moving object they encounter.⁷³

Newly hatched chicks begin to explore and peck at small, spherical, food-like objects^{74,75} and their pecking improves in accuracy over the first week of life.⁷⁶ Newly hatched chicks must learn to recognize food, so the hen's behavior is very important in encouraging chicks to peck at edible items.⁷⁷ The hen directs her chicks to appropriate food items by calling and pecking at the ground.⁷⁸

Behavioral research has revealed that hens demonstrate remarkable ability to adjust to the foraging skills of their chicks. In a study conducted at Bristol University, chicks learned to eat color coded feed. While hens were taught that one color of feed was edible and another color inedible, their chicks were taught the opposite. When the hens observed chicks making apparent errors in food selection, they increased the intensity of their feeding display, scratching and ground pecking more, in response to perceived errors by their chicks.⁷⁹ In an interview, John Webster, emeritus professor at Bristol University, stated, "What this tells us is that the mother hen has learnt what food is good and what is bad for her, that she cares so much for her chicks she will not let them eat the bad food and she is passing on to her young what she has learnt. To me that is pretty close to culture—and an advanced one at that. Chickens are sentient creatures and have feelings of their own."⁸⁰

During the first four days post-hatching the chicks remain very close to the hen,⁸¹ who takes them under her wings and crouches over them. This brooding behavior, which can occupy as much as 2/5 of the daily activity of a hen, can be initiated by either the hen or her chicks. The chicks, for example, may insist on being brooded by

peeping loudly and pressing up against the hen. Brooding keeps the chicks warm,⁸² and a hen will brood her chicks during inclement weather, or will call them to hide under her at signs of danger.⁸³ Mother hens are very protective of their young and, if their camouflage and other defenses fail to avoid detection, a hen will become raucous and draw potential predators to herself in an attempt to divert attention away from her chicks.⁸⁴

The chicks follow the hen on walking and foraging trips along the home range, and the hen keeps them nearby by clucking to them. If they wander away from the hen and the rest of the brood, chicks are often able to find their way back to the nesting site.⁸⁵

By ten days of age, chicks begin moving further away from the hen, sometimes traveling out of her sight. Frolicking—a behavior in which one individual runs toward another with wings raised or flapping—peaks at 12 days of age.⁸⁶ Chicks will copy each other's behavior when playing, a psychological phenomenon known as social facilitation.⁸⁷

A social order becomes apparent by 5-6 weeks of age.⁸⁸ By 6-7 weeks, the chicks begin to fly well enough that they move to safer roosting sites above ground with the mother hen.^{89,90} By approximately 8-10 weeks post-hatching, the chicks start to forage independently of their mother, although they continue to associate with the brood and roost near the adult flock.⁹¹ By approximately 18 weeks, the young birds join the rest of the flock.⁹²

Communication

Communication begins prior to hatching. Embryos emit a distress call, when cold, for example, and the hen responds to such calls by moving the egg in the nest. Developing chicks also emit pleasure calls when the hen responds. Communication is not limited to the hen and her chicks, as nest mates also influence each other with their vocalizations. Clicking and bill clapping sounds made during late development are thought to help synchronize hatching, so that all the chicks in a clutch break free of their shells at approximately the same time.⁹³

Young chickens develop a diverse vocal repertoire. Chicks display a dozen different types of calls and 19 additional calls have been identified for adult fowl.^{94,95} Adults have two distinctive alarm calls, one for aerial predators such as hawks and another for threats from the ground. The aerial predator call is intended to warn other fowl in the vicinity while allowing the caller to quietly take cover. Others in the flock respond to these alarm calls by standing alert, crouching down, or taking cover.^{96,97} Whereas young males will only give food calls in the presence of females, they will give alarm calls in the presence of both sexes, indicating selectivity and purpose in their communicative behavior.^{98,99}

Every rooster's crow call is distinctive and its characteristics are correlated with his comb length,¹⁰⁰ which is an indicator of dominance.¹⁰¹ Males use rate and quality of crow calls to assess the dominance status of other males.¹⁰²

Intelligence

The intelligence of chickens is often underestimated. Studies are beginning to show that these birds have remarkable cognitive abilities. Christine Nicol, professor of animal welfare at the University of Bristol, has studied social learning in chickens and stated,

Domestic chickens show remarkable abilities to learn socially and to benefit from the correct and incorrect responses and experiences of conspecifics. Their abilities to learn from others are not simple or 'fixed' in any way, but depend on the context and the social identity of both the observer and the demonstrator.¹⁰³

In one study, chicks avoided pecking at a noxious stimulus after observing the responses of other chicks.¹⁰⁴ In studies with hens, those who had watched a trained hen peck one of two colored keys to obtain food performed

better than those who did not observe a trained demonstrator, indicating that chickens can learn by observation.¹⁰⁵ Interestingly, the social status of the demonstrator affected the other hens' degree of learning. While the behavior of all the demonstrator hens was similar, the observers learned more readily by watching dominant hens.¹⁰⁶

Research has shown that newly hatched chicks have basic numerical competencies¹⁰⁷—in other words, they can count. A 2007 study showed that chicks have a basic understanding of ordinality up to ten. This experiment was the first to test numerical skills in such young animals (the chicks were only a few days old), and the upper limit of this ability has not yet been determined experimentally.¹⁰⁸

A study published in 2002 found that chickens may also have a sense of time. Hens were trained in a laboratory to peck a touch screen computer six minutes after they were shown a symbol on the screen. After the six minute interval had passed, birds who correctly pecked were rewarded with feed. The combined data from many trials showed that hens tended to peck the screen 6-7.5 minutes after the designated start of the timed session, demonstrating that they had an approximate sense of the correct amount of elapsed time.¹⁰⁹

Studies have also shown that domestic hens can form expectations and anticipate the future. In one study, after training hens to expect food in a particular situation, researchers subsequently prevented them from obtaining food under the same circumstances. When denied access to food, the hens emitted more of one specific type of call, the “gakel-call.”¹¹⁰ Subsequent research showed that the number of gakel-calls emitted increased with the length of time hens had been food-deprived. Together, these studies suggest that the gakel-call is indicative of frustration.¹¹¹ Researchers in the U.K. demonstrated that hens can predict outcomes and exhibit self-control in order to obtain the best outcome. When given a choice between a two-second delay followed by access to feed for three seconds, or a six-second delay followed by access to feed for 22 seconds, the hens often held out for the larger reward.¹¹²

Researchers in Italy have demonstrated that young chicks are capable of forming mental images and understanding that an object continues to exist even when it is no longer visible to them. In these studies, chicks were required to locate an object on which they had imprinted when it was only visible through a small window. To reach the object, they had to maneuver past several obstacles and retain a mental image of the object even when it was out of sight, a task which the chicks readily accomplished.^{113,114} This experiment demonstrated that chicks have a sense of “object permanence,” a theory in child developmental psychology devised by Jean Piaget. It could be said that this ability in chickens exceeds the mental capacity of infants,¹¹⁵ because infants do not develop a sense of object permanence until around 6-7 months of age.¹¹⁶

Research conducted since the 1950's demonstrating the significant cognitive abilities of birds, combined with new insights into avian brain evolution, have led an international group of scientists to call for a revised classification system for the avian brain that finally acknowledges similarities to the mammalian brain. In fact, cognitive skills once thought uniquely human are now recognized in a variety of avian species.¹¹⁷

In her exhaustive work on the development of the chicken brain, Lesley Rogers, professor of neuroscience and animal behavior at University of New England in Australia, concludes: “With increased knowledge of the behaviour and cognitive abilities of the chicken has come the realization that the chicken is not an inferior species to be treated merely as a food source.”¹¹⁸

Conclusion

While a chicken's natural lifespan is usually 5-8 years, it can last up to 30 years.¹¹⁹ However, most hens used for commercial egg production live for 1-2 years before they are killed, and broiler chickens, raised for meat, are slaughtered at just 6-7 weeks of age.¹²⁰

Observations of chickens in their natural environment and in laboratory tests have shown that these animals display complex behavior, social attachments, strong personalities, and impressive cognitive skills—attributes

that rival those of mammals. Given the intricacies of their behavior and psychology, they are fully capable of experiencing both a positive and a negative quality of life. Our attitudes towards these animals may stem in part from simple lack of understanding, and this has largely led us to disregard their suffering as they are raised for meat and egg production.

¹ Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2014. FAOSTAT. <http://faostat3.fao.org/faostat-gateway/go/to/home/E>. Accessed May 18, 2014.

² Fraser AF and Broom DM. 1990. *Farm Animal Behaviour and Welfare*, 4th Edition. UK: Bailliere Tindall, p. 281.

³ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

⁴ Wood-Gush DGM. 1959. A history of the domestic chicken from antiquity to the 19th century. *Poultry Science* 38(2):321-326.

⁵ Dawkins MS. 1989. Time budgets in Red Junglefowl as a baseline for the assessment of welfare in domestic fowl. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 24:77-80.

⁶ Scientific Committee on Animal Health and Animal Welfare. 2000. *The Welfare of Chickens Kept for Meat Production (Broilers)*. www.ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scah/out39_en.pdf. Accessed May 19, 2010.

⁷ Smith P and Daniel C. 2000. *The Chicken Book* (Athens, GA: The University of Georgia Press, p. 13).

⁸ Yamada Y. 1988. The contribution of poultry science to society. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 44(3):172-8.

⁹ Wood-Gush DGM. 1959. A history of the domestic chicken from antiquity to the 19th century. *Poultry Science* 38(2):321-326.

¹⁰ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

¹¹ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

¹² Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

¹³ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.

¹⁴ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, p.46).

¹⁵ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.

¹⁶ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, p.46).

¹⁷ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

¹⁸ Savory CJ, Wood-Gush DGM, and Duncan IJH. 1978. Feeding behaviour in a population of domestic fowls in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:13-27.

¹⁹ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.

²⁰ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

²¹ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.

²² Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.

²³ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.

²⁴ Savory CJ, Wood-Gush DGM, and Duncan IJH. 1978. Feeding behaviour in a population of domestic fowls in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:13-27.

-
- ²⁵ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ²⁶ Savory CJ, Wood-Gush DGM, and Duncan IJH. 1978. Feeding behaviour in a population of domestic fowls in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:13-27.
- ²⁷ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, p. 47).
- ²⁸ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.
- ²⁹ Council of Europe. 1995. Recommendations concerning domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus*). www.coe.int/t/e/legal_affairs/legal_co-operation/biological_safety%2C_use_of_animals/farming/Rec%20fowl%20E.asp#TopOfPage. Accessed May 19, 2010.
- ³⁰ Dawkins MS. 1989. Time budgets in Red Junglefowl as a baseline for the assessment of welfare in domestic fowl. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 24:77-80.
- ³¹ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.
- ³² Dawkins MS. 1989. Time budgets in Red Junglefowl as a baseline for the assessment of welfare in domestic fowl. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 24:77-80.
- ³³ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare* (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, p. 48).
- ³⁴ Dawkins MS. 1989. Time budgets in Red Junglefowl as a baseline for the assessment of welfare in domestic fowl. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 24:77-80.
- ³⁵ Weeks CA and Nicol CJ. 2006. Behavioural needs, priorities and preferences of laying hens. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 62:296-307.
- ³⁶ Duncan IJH and Hughes BO. 1972. Free and operant feeding in domestic fowls. *Animal Behaviour* 20:775-7.
- ³⁷ Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, pp. 95-7).
- ³⁸ Lunam CA. 2005. The anatomy and innervation of the chicken beak: effects of trimming and re-trimming. In: Glatz PC (ed.), *Poultry Welfare Issues: Beak Trimming* (Nottingham, U.K.: Nottingham University Press, pp. 51-68).
- ³⁹ Lunam CA. 2005. The anatomy and innervation of the chicken beak: effects of trimming and re-trimming. In: Glatz PC (ed.), *Poultry Welfare Issues: Beak Trimming* (Nottingham, U.K.: Nottingham University Press, pp. 51-68).
- ⁴⁰ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, pp.13-4).
- ⁴¹ Prescott NB and Wathes CM. 1999. Spectral sensitivity of the domestic fowl (*Gallus g. domesticus*). *British Poultry Science* 40:332-9.
- ⁴² Council of Europe. 1995. Recommendations concerning domestic fowl (*Gallus gallus*). www.coe.int/t/e/legal_affairs/legal_co-operation/biological_safety%2C_use_of_animals/farming/Rec%20fowl%20E.asp#TopOfPage. Accessed on May 19, 2010.
- ⁴³ Olsson IAS and Keeling LJ. 2005. Why in earth? Dustbathing behaviour in jungle and domestic fowl reviewed from a Tinbergian and animal welfare perspective. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 93(3/4):259-82.
- ⁴⁴ Liere DW van and Bokma S. 1987. Short-term feather maintenance as a function of dust-bathing in laying hens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 18(2):197-204.
- ⁴⁵ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁴⁶ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.
- ⁴⁷ Olsson IAS and Keeling LJ. 2000. Night-time roosting in laying hens and the effect of thwarting access to perches. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 68:243-56.

-
- ⁴⁸ Olsson IAS and Keeling LJ. 2000. Night-time roosting in laying hens and the effect of thwarting access to perches. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 68: 243-56.
- ⁴⁹ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁵⁰ Mench J and Keeling L. 2001. The social behaviour of domestic birds. In: Keeling LJ and Gonyou HW (eds.), *Social Behaviour in Farm Animals*. (UK: CABI Publishing, pp 177-210).
- ⁵¹ Savory CJ, Wood-Gush DGM, and Duncan IJH. 1978. Feeding behaviour in a population of domestic fowls in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:13-27.
- ⁵² Mench J and Keeling L. 2001. The social behaviour of domestic birds. In: Keeling LJ and Gonyou HW (eds.), *Social Behaviour in Farm Animals*. (UK: CABI Publishing, pp 177-210).
- ⁵³ Guhl AM. 1968. Social behaviour of domestic fowl. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science* 71:379-84.
- ⁵⁴ Griffin DR. 2001. *Animal Minds: Beyond Cognition to Consciousness* (Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, p. 174).
- ⁵⁵ Evans CS and Evans L. 1999. Chicken food calls are functionally referential. *Animal Behaviour* 58:307-19.
- ⁵⁶ Evans CS and Evans L. 1999. Chicken food calls are functionally referential. *Animal Behaviour* 58:307-19.
- ⁵⁷ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁵⁸ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁵⁹ Weeks CA and Nicol CJ. 2006. Behavioural needs, priorities and preferences of laying hens. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 62:296-307.
- ⁶⁰ Duncan IJH, Savory CJ, and Wood-Gush DGM. 1978. Observations on the reproductive behaviour of domestic fowl in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:29-42.
- ⁶¹ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.
- ⁶² McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁶³ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.
- ⁶⁴ Smith P and Daniel C. 2000. *The Chicken Book* (Athens, GA: The University of Georgia Press, p. 33).
- ⁶⁵ Romanov MN and Weigendt S. 2001. Analysis of genetic relationships between various populations of domestic and jungle fowl using microsatellite markers. *Poultry Science* 80:1057-63.
- ⁶⁶ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁶⁷ Duncan IJH, Savory CJ, and Wood-Gush DGM. 1978. Observations on the reproductive behaviour of domestic fowl in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:29-42.
- ⁶⁸ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁶⁹ Savory CJ, Wood-Gush DGM, and Duncan IJH. 1978. Feeding behaviour in a population of domestic fowls in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:13-27.
- ⁷⁰ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing).
- ⁷¹ Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International, pp. 1-4, 73).
- ⁷² Fraser AF and Broom DM. 1990. *Farm Animal Behaviour and Welfare*, third edition. (London, England: Bailliere Tindall, p.25).
- ⁷³ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, p. 38).
- ⁷⁴ Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International, pp. 95-6).

-
- ⁷⁵ Dawkins R. 1968. The ontogeny of a pecking preference in domestic chicks. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 25:170-86.
- ⁷⁶ Padilla SG. 1935. Further studies on the delayed pecking of chicks. *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 20:413-443.
- ⁷⁷ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. *Poultry Behaviour and Welfare*. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing).
- ⁷⁸ Nicol C. 2006. How animals learn from each other. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 100:58-63.
- ⁷⁹ Nicol CJ and Pope SJ. 1996. The maternal feeding display of domestic hens is sensitive to perceived chick error. *Animal Behaviour*. 52:767-74.
- ⁸⁰ Elliott V. 2005. Why did the chicken cross the road? *The Times*, March 18.
www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/article430585.ece. Accessed on May 19, 2010.
- ⁸¹ Kent JP. 1992. Maternal aggression and inter-individual distance in the broody hen (*Gallus gallus*). *Behavioural Processes* 27:37-44.
- ⁸² Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International, p. 159).
- ⁸³ Wood-Gush DGM, Duncan IJH, and Savory CJ. 1978. Observations on the social behavior of domestic fowl in the wild. *Biology of Behaviour* 3(3):193-205.
- ⁸⁴ Collias NE and Collias EC. 1967. A field study of the Red Jungle Fowl in north-central India. *The Condor* 69(4):360-86.
- ⁸⁵ Wood-Gush DGM, Duncan IJH, and Savory CJ. 1978. Observations on the social behavior of domestic fowl in the wild. *Biology of Behaviour* 3(3):193-205.
- ⁸⁶ Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International, pp. 160-5).
- ⁸⁷ Guhl AM. 1968. Social behaviour of domestic fowl. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science* 71:379-84.
- ⁸⁸ Guhl AM. 1968. Social behaviour of domestic fowl. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science* 71:379-84.
- ⁸⁹ McBride G, Parer IP, and Foenander F. 1969. The social organization and behaviour of the feral domestic fowl. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 2(3):127-81.
- ⁹⁰ Wood-Gush DGM, Duncan IJH, and Savory CJ. 1978. Observations on the social behavior of domestic fowl in the wild. *Biology of Behaviour* 3(3):193-205.
- ⁹¹ Savory CJ, Wood-Gush DGM, and Duncan IJH. 1978. Feeding behaviour in a population of domestic fowls in the wild. *Applied Animal Ethology* 4:13-27.
- ⁹² Wood-Gush DGM, Duncan IJH, and Savory CJ. 1978. Observations on the social behavior of domestic fowl in the wild. *Biology of Behaviour* 3(3):193-205.
- ⁹³ Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International, pp. 51-2).
- ⁹⁴ Collias NE. 1987. The Vocal Repertoire of the Red Junglefowl: A Spectrographic Classification and the Code of Communication. *The Condor* 89:510-24.
- ⁹⁵ Wood-Gush DGM. 1971. *The behaviour of the domestic fowl* (London, U.K.: Heinemann Educational Books LTD, pp. 27-45).
- ⁹⁶ Gyger M, Marler P, and Pickert R. 1987. Semantics of an Avian Alarm Call System: The Male Domestic Fowl, *Gallus domesticus*. *Behaviour* 102(1/2):15-40.
- ⁹⁷ Palleroni A, Hauser M, and Marler P. 2005. Do responses of galliform birds vary adaptively with predator size? *Animal Cognition* 8:200-10.
- ⁹⁸ Griffin DR. 2001. *Animal Minds: Beyond Cognition to Consciousness* (Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, p. 174).
- ⁹⁹ Karakashian SJ, Gyger M, and Marler P. 1988. Audience Effects on Alarm Calling in Chickens (*Gallus gallus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 102(2):129-35.
- ¹⁰⁰ Furlow B, Kimball RT, and Marshall MC. 1998. Are Rooster Crows Honest Signals of Fighting Ability? *The Auk* 115(3):763-6.

-
- ¹⁰¹ Appleby MC, Mench JA, and Hughes BO. 2004. Poultry Behaviour and Welfare. (Wallingford, U.K.: CABI Publishing, p.72).
- ¹⁰² Leonard ML and Horn AG. 1995. Crowing in relation to status in roosters. *Animal Behaviour* 49:1283-90.
- ¹⁰³ Nicol C. 2006. How animals learn from each other. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 100:58-63.
- ¹⁰⁴ Johnston ANB, Burne THJ, and Rose SPR. 1998. Observational learning in day-old chicks using a one-trial passive avoidance learning paradigm. *Animal Behaviour* 56:1347-53.
- ¹⁰⁵ Nicol CJ and Pope SJ. 1992. Effects of social learning on the acquisition of discriminatory key pecking in hens. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society* 30(4):293-6.
- ¹⁰⁶ Nicol C. 2006. How animals learn from each other. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 100:58-63.
- ¹⁰⁷ Rugani R, Regolin L, and Vallortigara G. 2007 Rudimental Numerical Competence in 5-Day-Old Domestic Chicks (*Gallus gallus*): Identification of Ordinal Position. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Animal Behavior Processes* 33(1):21-31.
- ¹⁰⁸ Rugani R, Regolin L, and Vallortigara G. 2007 Rudimental Numerical Competence in 5-Day-Old Domestic Chicks (*Gallus gallus*): Identification of Ordinal Position. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Animal Behavior Processes* 33(1):21-31.
- ¹⁰⁹ Taylor PE, Haskell M, Appleby MC, and Waran NK. 2002. Perception of time duration by domestic hens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 76:41-51.
- ¹¹⁰ Zimmerman PH and Koene P. 1998. The effect of frustrative nonreward on vocalisations and behaviour in the laying hen, *Gallus gallus domesticus*. *Behavioural Processes* 44:73-9.
- ¹¹¹ Zimmerman P, Koene P, van Hoof JARAM. 2000. The vocal expression of feeding motivation and frustration in the domestic laying hen, *Gallus gallus domesticus*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 69:265-73.
- ¹¹² Abeyasinghe SM, Nicol CJ, Hartnell SJ, and Wathes CM. 2005. Can domestic fowl, *Gallus gallus domesticus*, show self-control? *Animal Behaviour* 70:1-11.
- ¹¹³ Regolin L, Vallortigara G, and Zanforlin M. 1995. Object and spatial representations in detour problems by chicks. *Animal Behaviour* 49:195-9.
- ¹¹⁴ Vallortigara G, Regolin L, Rigoni M, and Zanforlin M. 1998. Delayed search for a concealed imprinted object in the domestic chick. *Animal Cognition* 1:17-24.
- ¹¹⁵ Grimes W. 2003. If chickens are so smart, why aren't they eating us? *The New York Times*, January 12. www.nytimes.com/2003/01/12/weekinreview/if-chickens-are-so-smart-why-aren-t-they-eating-us.html. Accessed on May 14, 2010.
- ¹¹⁶ Vallortigara G, Regolin L, Rigoni M, and Zanforlin M. 1998. Delayed search for a concealed imprinted object in the domestic chick. *Animal Cognition* 1:17-24.
- ¹¹⁷ Jarvis ED, Güntürkün O, Bruce L, et al. 2005. Avian brains and a new understanding of vertebrate brain evolution. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 6:151-9.
- ¹¹⁸ Rogers LJ. 1995. *The Development of Brain and Behaviour in the Chicken* (Wallingford, Oxon, U.K.: CAB International, p. 213).
- ¹¹⁹ Wolfensohn S and Lloyd M. 2003. Birds. In: Wolfensohn S and Lloyd M (eds.), *Handbook of Laboratory Animal Management and Welfare* (Third Edition) (Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell Publishing Ltd, pp. 365-79).
- ¹²⁰ Scanes CG, Brant G, and Ensminger ME. 2004. *Poultry Science*, 4th Edition (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall, p. 260).